



**IN THE SUPREME COURT
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
SPRING TERM
2005**

*OFFICIAL DOCKET AND LOWER COURT HOLDING SUMMARY
AS CERTIFIED BY THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUSTICE REBECCA LEHNER*

CASE 05-002: ANONYMOUS DONATION

Build-A-Wee-Lil-One, Inc. v. Schaeffer

Appellant: Buld-A-Wee-Lil-One, Inc.

Respondents: Rhonda Schaeffer

Result of Civil Case: Schaeffer filed suit against Build-A-Wee-Lil-One, Inc. demanding the name contact information on the “anonymous” donor to collect child support. On December 22, 2004, a jury returned a finding of verdict for Build-A-Wee-Lil-One for \$50,000 (well short of the \$2,000,000).

During the trial, the Honorable Summer E. Judgment, granted a defense motion in writing, which serve as potential foundations for appeal:

- ❖ Motion for Judgment Notwithstanding the Verdict (overturn the jury) based on the Kesler decision. By overturning the verdict, Judge Judgment ordered Build-A-Wee-Lil-One to turnover the donor’s information. As part of her written statement she wrote: “I was overturned previously in that terrible Kesler decision. I won’t allow it to happen again.”

Issues Before the Court: Was Judge Judgment correct in applying the Kessler decision to the anonymous sperm donation bank, and by extension the ordering of Build-A-Wee-Lil-One, Inc. to release the donor information in order to be sued for child support?